

# OBSERVATIONS OF PYROCARBON DEPOSITS ON FILMS BY SCANNING TUNNELING MICROSCOPY

*E. Bouchard<sup>+</sup>, J-C Roux<sup>+</sup>, F. Langlais<sup>°</sup>, P. Olry<sup>Δ</sup>, P. Lespade<sup>◇</sup> and P. Delhaès<sup>+</sup>*

<sup>+</sup> *Centre de Recherche Paul Pascal CNRS – Université de Bordeaux I 33600 Pessac (France)*

<sup>°</sup> *Laboratoire des composites thermostructuraux. Université de Bordeaux I.  
33600 Pessac (France)*

<sup>Δ</sup> *SNECMA division moteurs Le Haillan. 33165 St Médard en Jalles (France)*

<sup>◇</sup> *EADS-LV Aquitaine – 33165 St Médard en Jalles (France)*

## Introduction

The chemical vapor deposition of carbon materials is a very complex process mixing homogeneous and heterogeneous chemical reactions. Under defined conditions the nucleation and growth of pyrocarbons can be analyzed [1] thanks to scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). It has been recently demonstrated that a morphological change is observed when the deposit conditions are evolving from smooth laminar (SL) to rough laminar (RL) type of microstructure. On an ideal pyrographite used as a substrate this controlled change is interpreted as a sort of wetting transition [2]. It appears that the extension of CVD process to useful carbon surfaces as found with fibers is a crucial step to control the interfacial properties and the pyrocarbon coating, then the formation of C/C composites. This work has been carried out on a series of selected ex-PAN fibers (see table 1).

## Experimental

The CVD experiments have been realized under isothermal-isobaric conditions at fixed  $T=950^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $P=2\text{kPa}$  values with propane as precursor gas [3]. In this study the parameters are respectively the residence times ( $\tau=0.3$  see for SL microstructure and  $\tau=4$  see for RL one) and the deposition times, short for STM observations (with a Nanoscope III) ( $t_D=0.75$  and  $1.5$  mm) or longer for microcomposite testing ( $t_D=2\text{h}45$  mm) in order to obtain similar volumic fractions for the fiber and the matrix. All the STM observations are done at different scales (see figures 1 and 2) :

- in the micromic range, the morphology and the mean fiber radius
- in the submicromic range the roughness factor
- in the nanoscopic range the coherence length ( $L_a$ ) for a graphene sheet.

## Results and discussion

The comparison between pristine and coated fibers shows the relief and the asperities are progressively smeared out by CVD. Even in the case of supposed SL deposit or a graphitized fiber a complete wetting is observed as for a RL microstructure. This result at the opposite of the observation on a graphite surface demonstrates the influence of the surface roughness and the role played by the increased surface energy and the presence of active sites. We have also carried out traction measurements on microcomposites. A series of experiments (table 1) have allowed us to estimate the matrix tensile using the classical rule of mixtures. For the RL microstructure this value is around 100-200 GPa.

## Conclusions

We have shown that the deposition mechanism is sensitive to the surface quality of the fibers including their surface treatments and the graphitization. Indeed the interfacial characteristics plays a crucial role on fracture type as evidenced by preliminary tensile tests on microcomposites.

## References

1. E. Bouchard. Ph.D. Thesis  
University of Bordeaux I (1999)
2. E. Bouchard, J. Lavenac, J.C. Roux, F. Langlais and P. Delhaès. *Advanced materials*. CVD (to appear April 2001)
3. O. Ferron, F. Langlais, R. Naslain and J. Thebault *Carbon* (1999) 37, 1343-1353

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the assistance given by the persons of « Laboratoire des Composites Thermo-Structuraux » for the single fiber mechanical test.

Table 1 : General presentation of fibers, pyrocarbon deposits and microcomposites.

Types and characteristics				STM observation					
of ex PAN fibers				on bare fibers			after CVD of pyrocarbon +		
Name	HTT	d <sub>002</sub>	traction modulus	roughness	La	surface observation	roughness	surface observation	microstructure &
	(°C)	(nm)	(Gpa)	(nm)	(nm)		(nm)		
TENAX HTA/HTS	1600	0.350	284	0.35	0.14	<b>Graphene steps</b>	-	-	<b>RL (*) RL (*) SL</b>
	2200	0.342	350	0.25	0.10		0.36	-	
	2800	0.339		0.25	0.10			<b>homogene</b>	
ZOLTEX Panex 33	1600	0.246	275	0.45	0.13	irregular surfaces	0.62		RL (*) RL
	2200	0.342		0.37	0.08		0.40		

+ : experimental parameters : gas = propane, T<sub>D</sub> = 950°C, τ = 0.3s /SL or 4s/RL

